

Estimation of the drift of fractional Brownian motion

Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Idir Ouassou, Youssef Ouknine

► **To cite this version:**

Khalifa Es-Sebaiy, Idir Ouassou, Youssef Ouknine. Estimation of the drift of fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, Elsevier, 2009, 79 (14), pp.1647-1653. <10.1016/j.spl.2009.04.004>. <hal-00382581>

HAL Id: hal-00382581

<https://hal-paris1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00382581>

Submitted on 8 May 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Estimation of the drift of fractional Brownian motion

Khalifa Es-Sebaiy ^{1,3} Idir Ouassou ² Youssef Ouknine ¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia,
Cadi Ayyad University 2390 Marrakesh, Morocco.

² ENSA, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco,

³SAMOS/MATISSE, Centre d'Economie de La Sorbonne,
Université de Panthéon-Sorbonne Paris 1,
90, rue de Tolbiac, 75634 Paris Cedex 13, France.

May 8, 2009

Abstract

We consider the problem of efficient estimation for the drift of fractional Brownian motion $B^H := (B_t^H)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with Hurst parameter H less than $\frac{1}{2}$. We also construct superefficient James-Stein type estimators which dominate, under the usual quadratic risk, the natural maximum likelihood estimator.

Key words : Fractional Brownian Motion, Stein estimate, MLE

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60G15, 62G05, 62B05, 62M09.

1 Introduction

Fix $H \in (0, 1)$ and $T > 0$. Let $B^H = \{(B_t^{H,1}, \dots, B_t^{H,d}); t \in [0, T]\}$ be a d -dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . That is, B^H is a zero mean Gaussian vector whose components are independent one-dimensional fractional Brownian motions with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, i.e., for every $i = 1, \dots, d$ $B^{H,i}$ is a Gaussian process and covariance function given by

$$E(B_s^{H,i} B_t^{H,i}) = \frac{1}{2} (s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad s, t \in [0, T].$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, d$, $(\mathcal{F}_t^i)_{t \in [0, T]}$ denotes the filtration generated by $(B_t^{H,i})_{t \in [0, T]}$.

The fBm was first introduced by [5] and studied by [6]. Notice that if $H = \frac{1}{2}$, the

process $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a standard Brownian motion. However, for $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the fBm is neither a Markov process, nor a semi-martingale.

Let M be a subspace of the Cameron-Martin space defined by

$$M = \left\{ \varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d; \varphi_t^i = \int_0^t \dot{\varphi}_s^i ds \text{ with } \dot{\varphi}^i \in L^2([0, T]) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \varphi^i \in I_{0+}^{H+\frac{1}{2}}(L^2([0, T])), i = 1, \dots, d \right\}.$$

Let $\theta = \{(\theta_t^1, \dots, \theta_t^d); t \in [0, T]\}$ be a function belonging to M . Then, Applying Girsanov theorem (see Theorem 2 in [9]), there exist a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to P under which the process \tilde{B}^H defined by

$$\tilde{B}_t^H = B_t^H - \theta_t, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

is a fBm with Hurst parameter H and mean zero. In this case, we say that, under the probability P_θ , the process B^H is a fBm with drift θ .

We consider in this paper the problem of estimating the drift θ of B^H under the probability P_θ , with hurst parameter $H < 1/2$. We wish to estimate θ under the usual quadratic risk, that is defined for any estimator δ of θ by

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \|\delta_t - \theta_t\|^2 dt \right]$$

where E_θ is the expectation with respect to a probability P_θ .

Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ be a normal vector with mean $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and identity covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I_d$. The usual maximum likelihood estimator of θ is X itself. Moreover, it is efficient in the sense that the Cramer-Rao bound over all unbiased estimators is attained by X . That is

$$\sigma^2 d = E [\|X - \theta\|_d^2] = \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} E [\|\xi - \theta\|_d^2],$$

where \mathcal{S} is the class of unbiased estimators of θ and $\|\cdot\|_d$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d .

[12] constructed biased superefficient estimators of θ of the form

$$\delta_{a,b}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{b}{a + \|X\|^2} \right) X$$

for a sufficiently small and b sufficiently large when $d \geq 3$. [4] sharpened later this result and presented an explicit class of biased superefficient estimators of the form

$$\left(1 - \frac{a}{\|X\|_d^2}\right) X, \text{ for } 0 < a < 2(d-2).$$

Recently, an infinite-dimensional extension of this result has been given by [10]. The authors constructed unbiased estimators of the drift $(\theta_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of a continuous Gaussian martingale $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with quadratic variation $\sigma_t^2 dt$, where $\sigma \in L^2([0, T], dt)$ is an a.e. non-vanishing function. More precisely, they proved that $\hat{\theta} = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an efficient estimator of $(\theta_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. On the other hand, using Malliavin calculus, they constructed superefficient estimators for the drift of a Gaussian process of the form:

$$X_t := \int_0^t K(t, s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a deterministic kernel. These estimators are biased and of the form $X_t + D_t \log F$, where F is a positive superharmonic random variable and D is the Malliavin derivative.

In Section 3, we prove, using technic based on the fractional calculus and Girsanov theorem, that $\hat{\theta} = B^H$ is an efficient estimator of θ under the probability P_θ with risk

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta, B^H) = E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \|B_t^H - \theta_t\|^2 dt \right] = \frac{T^{2H+1}}{2H+1} d.$$

Moreover, we will establish that $\hat{\theta} = B^H$ is a maximum likelihood estimator of θ .

In Section 4, we construct a class of biased estimators of James-Stein type of the form

$$\delta(B^H)_t = \left(1 - at^{2H} \left(\frac{r(\|B_t^H\|^2)}{\|B_t^H\|^2}\right)\right) B_t^H, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

We give sufficient conditions on the function r and on the constant a in order that $\delta(B^H)$ dominates B^H under the usual quadratic risk i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta(B^H)) < \mathcal{R}(\theta, B^H) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in M. \quad (1.2)$$

2 Preliminaries

This section contains the elements from fractional calculus that we will need in the paper.

The fractional Brownian motion B^H has the following stochastic integral representation (see for instance, [1], [8])

$$B_t^{H,i} = \int_0^t K_H(t,s) dW_s^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d; \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (2.3)$$

where $W = (W^1, \dots, W^d)$ denotes the d -dimensional Brownian motion and the kernel $K_H(t, s)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} c_H(t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + c_H\left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right) \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{s}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-H}\right) du & \quad \text{if } H \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ c_H\left(H - \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{s}{u}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} du & \quad \text{if } H > \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

if $s < t$ and $K_H(t, s) = 0$ if $s \geq t$. Here c_H is the normalizing constant

$$c_H = \sqrt{\frac{2H\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}{\Gamma(H+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2-2H)}}$$

where Γ is the Euler function.

We recall some basic definitions and results on classical fractional calculus which we will need. General information about fractional calculus can be found in [11].

The left fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of $f \in L^1((a, b))$ of order $\alpha > 0$ on (a, b) is given at almost all $x \in (a, b)$ by

$$I_{a+}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-y)^{\alpha-1} f(y) dy.$$

If $f \in I_{a+}^\alpha(L^p(a, b))$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $p > 1$ then the left-sided Riemann-Liouville derivative of f of order α defined by

$$D_{a+}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-a)^\alpha} + \alpha \int_a^x \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{(x-y)^{\alpha+1}} dy \right)$$

for almost all $x \in (a, b)$.

For $H \in (0, 1)$, the integral transform

$$(K_H f)(t) = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) f(s) ds$$

is a isomorphism from $L^2([0, 1])$ onto $I_{0+}^{H+\frac{1}{2}}(L^2([0, 1]))$ and its inverse operator K_H^{-1} is given by

$$K_H^{-1} f = t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} D_{0+}^{H-\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} f' \quad \text{for } H > 1/2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$K_H^{-1} f = t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} D_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} D_{0+}^{2H} f \quad \text{for } H < 1/2. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, for $H < \frac{1}{2}$, if f is an absolutely continuous function then $K_H^{-1} f$ can be represented of the form (see [9])

$$K_H^{-1} f = t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} I_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} f'. \quad (2.6)$$

3 The maximum likelihood estimator and Cramer-Rao type bound

We consider a function $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^d)$ belonging to M . An estimator $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^d)$ of $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^d)$ is called unbiased if, for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$E_\theta(\xi_t^i) = \theta_t^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

and it is called adapted if, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, ξ^i is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t^i)_{t \in [0, T]}$.

Since for any $i = 1, \dots, d$, the function θ^i is deterministic and

$$\int_0^T (K_H^{-1}(\theta^i)(s))^2 ds < \infty,$$

then Girsanov theorem yields that there exists a probability measure P_θ absolutely continuous with respect to P under which the process $\tilde{B}^H := (\tilde{B}_t^H; t \in [0, T])$ defined by

$$\tilde{B}_t^H = B_t^H - \theta_t, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (3.7)$$

is a d-dimensional fBm with Hurst parameter H and mean zero. Moreover the Girsanov density of P_θ with respect to P is given by:

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{dP} = \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_0^T K_H^{-1}(\theta^i)(s) dW_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (K_H^{-1}(\theta^i)(s))^2 ds \right) \right]$$

and

$$\widetilde{B}_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) d\widetilde{W}_s$$

where \widetilde{W} is a d -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability P_θ and

$$\widetilde{W}_t^i = W_t^i - \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\theta^i)(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, d; \quad t \in [0, T].$$

The equation (3.7) implies that B^H is an unbiased and adapted estimator of θ under probability P_θ . In addition, we obtain the Cramer-Rao type bound:

$$R(H, \hat{\theta}) := \mathcal{R}(\theta, B^H) = \int_0^T E_\theta \|\widetilde{B}_t^H\|^2 dt = d \int_0^T t^{2H} dt = \frac{T^{2H+1}}{2H+1} d.$$

The first main result of this section is given by the following proposition which asserts that $\hat{\theta} = B^H$ is an efficient estimator of θ .

Theorem 1 *Assume that $H < \frac{1}{2}$. If ξ is an unbiased and adapted estimator of θ , then*

$$E_\theta \int_0^T \|\xi_t - \theta_t\|^2 dt \geq R(H, \hat{\theta}). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof: Since ξ is unbiased, then for every $\varphi \in M$ we have

$$E_\varphi(\xi_t^j) = E_\varphi(\varphi_t^j), \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Let $\varphi = \theta + \varepsilon\psi$ with $\psi \in M$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $t \in [0, T]$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta+\varepsilon\psi}(\xi_t^j) &= E_{\theta+\varepsilon\psi}(\theta_t^j + \varepsilon\psi_t^j) \\ &= E_{\theta+\varepsilon\psi}(\theta_t^j) + \varepsilon\psi_t^j. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for every $j = 1, \dots, d$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_t^j &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}_{/\varepsilon=0} E_{\theta+\varepsilon\psi}(\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j) \\
&= E \left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}_{/\varepsilon=0} \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\theta^i + \varepsilon\psi^i)(s) dW_s^i \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (K_H^{-1}(\theta^i + \varepsilon\psi^i)(s))^2 ds \right) \right] (\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j) \right) \\
&= E_\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left[\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) dW_s^i - \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) K_H^{-1}(\theta^i)(s) ds \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j) \right) \\
&= E_\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left[\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) d\widetilde{W}_s^i \right] (\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j) \right) \\
&= E_\theta \left(\left[\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^j)(s) d\widetilde{W}_s^j \right] (\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $L^2(\Omega, dP_\theta)$, we obtain that for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_t\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^d (\psi_t^j)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^d E_\theta \left((\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j)^2 \right) E_\theta \left(\left[\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^j)(s) d\widetilde{W}_s^j \right]^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d E_\theta \left[((\xi_t^j - \theta_t^j)^2) \int_0^t (K_H^{-1}(\psi^j)(s))^2 ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We take for each $j = 1, \dots, d$, $\psi_t^j = t^{2H}$. Since $t \longrightarrow t^{2H}$ is absolutely continuous function, then by (2.6), a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_H^{-1}(t^{2H}) &= 2Ht^{H-\frac{1}{2}} I_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}-H} t^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{2H\beta(\frac{1}{2}-H, H+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-H)} t^{H-1/2} \\
&= 2H(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+H))t^{H-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$0 \leq \Gamma(z) \leq 1 \quad \text{for every } z \in [1, 2]. \quad (3.9)$$

Combining the facts that $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)$, $z > 0$, $2H \leq (H + \frac{1}{2})^2$ and (3.9), we obtain

$$dt^{2H} = \|\psi_t\|^2 \leq (\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + H))^2 E_\theta (\|\xi_t - \theta_t\|^2) \leq E_\theta (\|\xi_t - \theta_t\|^2).$$

Hence, by an integration with respect to dt , we get

$$R(H, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{T^{2H+1}}{2H+1} \leq E_\theta \int_0^T \|\xi_t - \theta_t\|^2 dt.$$

Therefore (3.8) is satisfied.

Corollary 1 *The process $\hat{\theta} = B^H$ is a maximum likelihood estimator of θ .*

Proof: We have for every $\psi \in M$

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^i + \varepsilon\psi^i)(s) dW_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (K_H^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^i + \varepsilon\psi^i)(s))^2 ds \right] = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) dW_s^i - \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) K_H^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^i)(s) ds \right) = 0.$$

Which implies that for every $i = 1, \dots, d$

$$E \left(\int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) dW_s^i - \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\psi^i)(s) K_H^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^i)(s) ds \right)^2 = 0.$$

Then, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$

$$W_t^i = \int_0^t K_H^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^i)(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore by (2.3), we obtain that $B^H = \hat{\theta}$.

4 Superefficient James-Stein type estimators

The aim of this section is to construct superefficient estimators of θ which dominate, under the usual quadratic risk, the natural MLE estimator B^H . The class of estimators considered here are of the form

$$\delta(B^H)_t = B_t^H + g(B_t^H, t), \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (4.10)$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a function. The problem turns to find sufficient conditions on g such that $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta(B^H)) < \infty$ and the risk difference is negative, i.e.

$$\Delta\mathcal{R}(\theta) = \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta(B^H)) - \mathcal{R}(\theta, B^H) < 0.$$

In the sequel we assume that the function g satisfies the following assumption:

$$(A) \begin{cases} E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \|g(B_t^H, t)\|_d^2 dt \right] < \infty, \\ \text{the partial derivatives } \partial_i g^i := \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \text{ of } g \text{ exist.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta(B^H)) < \infty$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{R}(\theta) &= E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \|B_t^H + g(B_t^H, t) - \theta_t\|_d^2 - \|B_t^H - \theta_t\|_d^2 dt \right] \\ &= E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \|g(B_t^H, t)\|_d^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \left(g^i(B_t^H, t)(B_t^{H,i} - \theta_t^i) \right) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} &E_\theta \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^d \left(g^i(B_t^H, t)(B_t^{H,i} - \theta_t^i) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^T (2\pi t^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^i(x^1, \dots, x^d, t)(x^i - \theta_t^i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times e^{-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (x^j - \theta_t^j)^2}{2t^{2H}}} dx^1 \dots dx^d \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^T (2\pi t^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{2H} \partial_i g^i(x^1, \dots, x^d, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times e^{-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d (x^j - \theta_t^j)^2}{2t^{2H}}} dx^1 \dots dx^d \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^T (t^{2H} E_\theta \partial_i g^i(B_t^H, t)) dt = E_\theta \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^T t^{2H} \partial_i g^i(B_t^H, t) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the risk difference equals

$$\Delta\mathcal{R}(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \left(\|g(B_t^H, t)\|_d^2 + 2t^{2H} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g^i(B_t^H, t) \right) dt \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

We can now state the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let $g : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a function satisfying (A). A sufficient conditions for the estimator $(B_t^H + g(B_t^H, t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ to dominate B^H under the usual quadratic risk is*

$$E_\theta \left[\int_0^T \left(\|g(B_t^H, t)\|^2 + 2t^{2H} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g^i(B_t^H, t) \right) dt \right] < 0.$$

As an application, take g of the form

$$g(x, t) = at^{2H} \frac{r(\|x\|^2)}{\|x\|^2} x, \quad (4.12)$$

where a is a constant strictly positive and $r : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is bounded derivable function. The next lemma give a sufficient condition for g in (4.12) to satisfies the assumption (A).

Lemma 1 *If $d \geq 3$ and $H < \frac{1}{2}$ then*

$$E \left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{\|B_t^H\|^2} dt \right] < \infty. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof: Firstly the integral given by (4.13) is well defined, because

$$(dt \times P) ((t, w); B_t^H(w) = 0) = 0$$

where $(dt \times P)$ is the product measure.

Using the change of variable and $d \geq 3$ we see that

$$E \int_0^T \frac{1}{\|B_t^H\|^2} dt = \int_0^T \frac{dt}{t^{2H}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{-\frac{\|y\|^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\|y\|^2} dy \leq C \int_0^T \frac{1}{t^{2H}} dt,$$

where C is a constant depending only on d . Furthermore, since $H < \frac{1}{2}$ then (4.13) holds.

Theorem 3 *Assume that $d \geq 3$. If the function r , the constant a and the parameter H satisfy:*

i) $0 \leq r(\cdot) \leq 1$

ii) $r(\cdot)$ is differentiable and increasing

iii) $0 < a \leq 2(d-2)$ and $H < 1/2$,

then the estimator

$$\delta(B^H) = B_t^H - at^{2H} \frac{r(\|B_t^H\|^2)}{\|B_t^H\|^2} B_t^H, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

dominates B^H .

Proof: It suffices to prove that $\Delta\mathcal{R}(\theta) < 0$. From (4.11) and the hypothesis i) and ii) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{R}(\theta) &= aE_\theta \left[\int_0^T t^{4H} \left(\frac{ar^2(\|B_t^H\|^2)}{\|B_t^H\|^2} - 2(d-2) \frac{r(\|B_t^H\|^2)}{\|B_t^H\|^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 4r'(\|B_t^H\|^2) \right) dt \right] \\ &\leq a[a - 2(d-2)] E_\theta \left[a \int_0^T t^{4H} \frac{r(\|B_t^H\|^2)}{\|B_t^H\|^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this fact with the assumption iii) yields that the risk difference is negative. Which proves the desired result.

For $r = 1$, we obtain a James-Stein type estimator:

Corollary 2 *Let $d \geq 3$, $0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < a \leq 2(d-2)$. Then the estimator*

$$\left(1 - \frac{at^{2H}}{\|B_t^H\|^2} \right) B_t^H, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

dominates B^H .

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the editor Hira Koul and referees for several helpful corrections and suggestions that led to many improvements in the paper.

References

- [1] Alòs, E., Mazet, O., Nualart, D., 2001. Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes. *Annal. Prob.* 29, 766-801.

- [2] Belly, S., Decreusefond, L., 1997. Multi-dimensional fractional Brownian Motion and some Applications to Queueing Theory. In *Fractals in Engineering*, C. Tricot, J. Lévy-Véhel, Eds.
- [3] Brandwein, A.C., Strawderman, W.E., 1991. Generalizations of James-Stein Estimators Under Spherical Symmetry. *Ann. Statist.* 19, 1639-1650.
- [4] James, W., Stein, C., 1961. Estimation with quadratic loss. *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability.* 1,361-379.
- [5] Kolmogorov, A. N., 1940. Wiener'sche Spiralen und einige andere interessante Kurven im Hilbertschen Raum. *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. URSS (N.S.)* 26, 115-118.
- [6] Mandelbrot, B. B., Van Ness, J. W., 1968. Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. *SIAM Review.* 10, 422-437.
- [7] Nualart, D., 2005. *Malliavin calculus and related topics.* Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2nd ed.
- [8] Nualart, D., 2003. Stochastic calculus with respect to the fractional Brownian motion and applications. *Contemp. Math.* 336, 3-39.
- [9] Nualart, D., Ouknine, Y., 2002. Regularization of differential equations by fractional noise. *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* 102, 103-116.
- [10] Privault, N., Réveillac, A., 2008. Stein estimation for the drift of Gaussian processes using the Malliavin calculus. *Ann. Statist.* 36, 2531-2550.
- [11] Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A., Mariachev, O. I., 1993. *Fractional integrals and derivatives.* Gordon and Breach Science.
- [12] Stein, C., 1956. Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the mean vector of a multivariate normal distribution. *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium Mathematical on Statistics and Probability.* 1, 197-206.